

If we define addition of natural numbers in a usual way, then it is easy to see that an outcome of adding two natural numbers is a natural number. We then will say that the set $\mathbb{N}$ of natural numbers is closed under addition. On the other hand, if we define the difference of two natural numbers, a and b , as the number $a-b \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t. $b+(a-b)=a$ then this object would only be defined if $a > b$. In order to extend the definition of the difference to $a < b$, we need to enlarge the set of natural numbers to include 0 and negative integers - this gives us the set of all integers $\mathbb{Z} = \{\dots, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$. Note that $\mathbb{N} \subset \mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathbb{Z}$ is closed under subtraction.

In $\mathbb{Z}$ we can define multiplication in the usual way where it is clear that $a, b \in \mathbb{Z} \Rightarrow ab \in \mathbb{Z} \Rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is closed under multiplication. However, $\mathbb{Z}$ is not closed under division: $\frac{1}{2} \notin \mathbb{Z}$. We enlarge $\mathbb{Z}$ by introducing the set of rational numbers $\mathbb{Q}$ as follows

$$\mathbb{Q} = \left\{ \frac{m}{n} : m, n \in \mathbb{Z}; n \neq 0 \right\}$$

Here we assume that:

$$(1) \frac{m}{n} = \frac{km}{kn} \text{ if } k \neq 0, k \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad (2) \frac{0}{n} = 0, \quad (3) \frac{m}{1} = m,$$

$$(4) \frac{m}{n} \frac{k}{e} = \frac{mk}{ne}, \quad (5) \frac{m}{n} + \frac{k}{e} = \frac{me + nk}{ne}, \quad (6) \left(\frac{m}{n} \right)^{-1} = \frac{n}{m} \text{ if } m, n \neq 0$$

From (1) it follows that the same rational number can be written in infinitely many ways; If m and n do not have common factors, we say that the fraction is irreducible. From (3) it follows that $\mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{Q}$ and the remaining properties ensure that $\mathbb{Q}$ is closed under operations: "+", "-", "*", and " $\div$ ". It would appear then that $\mathbb{Q}$ would be a sufficiently large set of numbers for practical applications. However, as it is well-known this is not so.

One immediate deficiency that one encounters is associated with solving equations: as we will demonstrate shortly, numbers such as $\sqrt{2}$, $\sqrt{17}$ cannot be rational so that the equations $x^2 = 2$, $x^2 = 17$ that appear in applications do not have rational solutions. Other deficiencies exist but we will discuss them in the next lecture.

We will now discuss a systematic approach to demonstrating that solution of certain polynomial equations cannot be rational.

Definition: A number z is called algebraic if it satisfies a polynomial equation with integer coefficients, i.e., if there exist a natural number n and integers $a_0, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$\text{s.t. } a_n z^n + a_{n-1} z^{n-1} + \dots + a_1 z + a_0 = 0.$$

Then $\sqrt{2}$ is an algebraic number since it solves the equation $x^2 - 2 = 0$. Similarly, if $z = \sqrt[3]{1+3\sqrt{5}}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} z^3 = 1 + \sqrt[3]{15} &\Rightarrow z^3 - 1 = \sqrt[3]{15} \Rightarrow (z^3 - 1)^3 = 15 \Rightarrow z^6 - 3z^4 + 3z^2 - 1 = 15 \\ &\Rightarrow z^6 - 3z^4 + 3z^2 - 16 = 0 \end{aligned}$$

z is a solution of a polynomial equation with integer coefficients and thus is an algebraic number.

we have the following:

Rational Zeros Theorem. Suppose $z = \frac{p}{q}$, where $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $q \neq 0$ is an irreducible fraction that satisfies the equation

$$(*) \quad a_n x^n + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \dots + a_1 x + a_0 = 0, \text{ where}$$

$a_0, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $a_0, a_n \neq 0$. Then p divides a_0 and q divides a_n .

Proof: substituting z into $(*)$, we have

$$a_n \left(\frac{p}{q}\right)^n + a_{n-1} \left(\frac{p}{q}\right)^{n-1} + \dots + a_1 \frac{p}{q} + a_0 = 0$$

Multiplying this equation by q^n , we get

$$(**) \quad a_n p^n + a_{n-1} p^{n-1} q + \dots + a_1 p q^{n-1} + a_0 q^n = 0$$

We can factor out p from the first n terms in $(**)$ to get

$$p(a_n p^{n-1} + a_{n-1} p^{n-2} q + \dots + a_1 q^{n-1}) = -a_0 q^n$$

$\Rightarrow a_0 q^n$ must be divisible by $p \Rightarrow$ either a_0 is divisible by p or q^n is divisible by p . However, p and q have no common factors $\Rightarrow a_0$ is divisible by p . Now, factor q from the last n terms in (**):

$$a_n p^n = -q(a_{n-1} p^{n-1} + a_{n-2} q p^{n-2} + \dots + a_1 q^{n-2} p + a_0 q^{n-1})$$

We use the same logic: $a_n p^n$ is divisible by q , but p and q have no common factors hence p^n cannot be divided by $q \Rightarrow a_n$ is divisible by q . $\square$

Example: $\sqrt{2}$ is not rational $\Rightarrow$ let $x = \sqrt{2} \Rightarrow x^2 = 2 \Rightarrow \boxed{x^2 - 2 = 0}$. Assume that x is rational, i.e., there exist integers p and q s.t. $x = \frac{p}{q}$. Then, by R.E.T.: q divides 1 and p divides $-2 \Rightarrow q = \pm 1$ and $p = \pm 1, \pm 2 \Rightarrow$ Possible choices of $\frac{p}{q}$: $\pm 1, \pm 2 \Rightarrow x = \pm 1$ or ± 2 . However $(\pm 1)^2 - 2 = -1$ and $(\pm 2)^2 - 2 = 2 \Rightarrow$ Neither choice of x satisfies $x^2 - 2 = 0 \Rightarrow$ no rational solutions $\Rightarrow \sqrt{2}$ is not rational.

Example: show that $z = \sqrt{1 + \sqrt[3]{15}}$ is not rational.

We already showed: $z^6 - 3z^4 + 3z^2 - 16 = 0$. Assume $z = \frac{p}{q}$, where p, q are integers and the fraction is irreducible.

RAT: p divides 16 and q divides 1 $\Rightarrow q = \pm 1$ and

$$p = \pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 4, \pm 8, \pm 16 \Rightarrow z = \frac{p}{q} = \pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 4, \pm 8, \pm 16$$

$$\text{If } z = \pm 1: 1 - 3 + 3 - 16 = -15 \neq 0$$

$$\text{If } z = \pm 2: 64 - 3 \cdot 16 + 3 \cdot 4 - 16 = 12 \neq 0$$

$\vdots$

- no rational solutions to the polynomial equation $\Rightarrow$
 z is not rational.